

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,277	11/15/1999	XIN CHEN	006005-026	2753
7:	590 03/25/2003			
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP			EXAMINER	
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-2711			LISH, PETER J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	(3
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/440,277 **Advisory Action** CHEN ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Peter J Lish 1754 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the amendment to claims 5 and 9 represent a newly introduced limitation. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants arguments regarding claim 7 are not persuasive. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ____. Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: ____ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: ___

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/440,277

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 06 March 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues concerning claim 7:

- (1) The internals disclosed by Callahan et al. do not achieve the same function of the instantly claimed set of internals.
- (2) The primary feature of Callahan et al. is that it teaches combination fixed-fluid bed catalysts, while the instant invention achieves the same effects without the use of fixed bed catalysts.
 - (3) The amendment results in a structural difference.

Regarding argument (1), while Callahan et al. may clearly teach that the internals act as supports for the fixed bed catalyst, it is maintained that the structure meets the claims and thus has the same effect.

Regarding argument (2), The additional features of Callahan et al., specifically the use of a fixed bed catalyst, is not excluded in the instantly claimed invention, and appears to be required by the claims. Furthermore, this argument is used only to support the argument that the internals disclosed by Callahan et al. do not achieve the same function of the instantly claimed set of internals. This does not patently distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art as no structural difference is seen.

Regarding argument (3), In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is deemed that this structure is in fact shown, noting that the amendment is not entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/440,277 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 703-308-1772. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-305-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PL March 18, 2003

> BTUART L. HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stablet-